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## ABSTRACT

This report sumarizes the distritution of full-time faculty, by race and discipline, in the public four-year and two-year instituticns of the 14 states of the Southern Regional Education Board (SREB) tegion. The datafere gathered with partial support by a grant frcm the Ford Foundation. A basic objective of the project was to develop baseline data on full-time black faculty, ky disciflines, in public institutions cf higher education within the region. Such informaticr, in conjunction with data on newly entering black faculty (i.e., annual graduate $\dot{d} \in \mathrm{gre} \in \mathrm{s}$ earned by blacks), constitutes'the current supply of black faculty in the various disciplines. The distrifution of faculty by race and discipline in the Southern region is illustrative of the national picture and, therefore, should ke

- helpful fer program planning and affirmative action implementiation. The information in this report was obtained primarily by surveyigg. individual public four-year and two-year institutions. in the region. 'The survey methods are detailed in an appendix. The institutions for which facultp data are included account for 94 percent of total four-pear exrollments and 79 percent öf total twcyear enrollments in
- 1976-77. Data vere ćttaihed on race and discipline of 97,053 four-year and 34,725 tifo-year full-time faculty members. The refort covers only faculty with tdaching responsibilities and excludes those in full-tine administrative positions. "Disfipline" in this repcrt refers to the subject ar, in which faculty teach, not, to their field cf preparation. The tigher Education;General Infcrmation Survey (HFGIS) cilassification of disciplines was used. with certain adjustméts which are described in an appendix. The two-primary variables covered are race and discipline of faculty. Additionally. tenure, rank, and $d \in g r e \epsilon$ level data on faculty were obtained from scre institutions. (Author/MSE) \{.

[^0]
## Highlights

- Black representation on college faculties in the public institutions in the South continues to be low relative to the share blacks constitute of the total population, or of college enrollments. pAccording to the results of the survey, no more than 8 percent of total faculty in public institutions of the region are black. Blacks account for 18.8 percent of the
i South's population and 15.1 percent of total collegiate enrollment in the region.
- Blacks have greater representation in some disciplines than in others. The most striking concentration of backs is in the field of education,' where they comprise 16 percent of total faculty in the four-year colleges"and universities. At present. almost a third of total. black faculty in the four-year sector are four in the education discipline.
- Blacks are relatively well represented in the anciplines of library science, home économils, and public affairs. They have lower nan average representation in architecture, accounting. engine ring and physical'science
- Almost threx-fourths of the black faculty in the region "s four-year colleges and universeties are employed in the predominantly black institutions. The concentration of black faculty in those institutionsis lower in sommeremplines. such as public affairs and foreign languages.
- A black faculty member is les likely to be tenured, to hold the rank of full professor, and to have earned a doctorate, than is a white faculty member. There is a greater likelihood of black faculty holding professorial rank and tenure if employed at predominantly black institutions: Conversely, white faculty are less likely to be tenured or to hold professorial rank in the back institutions.
- For all four-year colleges and universities, doctorates are held by 65 percent of total facula but only 37 percent of blat k faculty. There is a greater likelihood for both black and white fatuity to hold doctorates if employed in predominantly white institutions.
- Whet data on new doctorates are examined. it is clear that the number of each degrees. ceirried by backs in the Southern region is not sufficient to augment the current reprosentation of blacks on the faculties of the region. The situation is aggravated by the fact that 75 percent of all degrees calmed by blacks in the region at the masters and doctoral levels are in the field of education a discipline in which black faculty representation is much higher than the average, and in which enrolments are declining. $\quad 1$
- Until there is an increase in tire number of advanced degrees earned by blacks in the region, especially at the doctoral level, and until black graduate students are more widely dispersed among disciplases other than education, it will be very difficult to increase black reprosentation on college faculties in the region.
Racial Composition
of Faculties
and Universities
of the South



## Foreword

$\%$
I


This report presents findings and conclusions of an inquiry into the racial composition of faculty at predominantly while institutions and at predominantly black institutions in the public sector higher education in the South. While the need for further integrated faculties at both categories of institutions is implied, from a more immediate point of view the study is concerned with the problem of shortages in the overall-supply, by academic disci$\therefore$ pline, of black faculty personnel.
. The study offers convincing evidence that the shortage of black academic manpower in pary disciplines, except for education and a few other fields, is more critical than is generailly realized. Since we know that tremendous progress has been made in improvement of access by blacks to postsecondary education, there would appear to be significant slippage in) rates of baccalaureate completion by blacks and in recruitment to and completion of graduate education, particulark-in the arts and sciences.

If is believed that these data win prove useful to statewide and institutional planners of programs and curricula, to persons involved in public policy issues arising from questions of faculty refruitment and advancement, and to counselors of students planning to pursue education for advanced dedees. A grant from the Ford Foundation, which has made the study possible, \& gratefully acknowledged.
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## Introdúction

Th the publiq four-year and two-year institutions of the 14 states of the Southern Regional Education Board (SRER).region. The data were gathered with partial support by a grant from the Ford Foundation.

A basic objective of the profect was to develop baseline data folltime black faculty, by disciplines, in public institutions of higger education within the region. Such information, in conjunction with data on newly entering black faculty (i.e., annual graduate degrees
earned by blacks), constitutes the current supply of black faculty in the various disciplines. Heretofore, the lack of such data by discipline has been one of the weaknesses in developing and implementing affirmative action plans.

1

The marke.t for college faculty is a national one, so that supply of faculty, by race and discipline, in 14 Southern states constitutes only a partial view of the total supply within the nation. However, the distribution of faculty by race and discipline ir the Southern region is illustrative of the national pictüre and, therefore, should be helpfül for program planning and affirmative action implementation.

The information in this report was obtained primarily by suiveying individual public fouryear and two-year institutions in the region. The survey methods are detailed in Appendix A. The institutions for which faculty data are included account for 94 pertent of total fouryear'enrollments and 79 percent of total two-year enrollments in 1976-77.

Data were abtained on race and discipline of 97,053 four-year and 34725 two-year fulltime faculty members. The report covers only faculty with teaching pesponsibilities and éxcludes those in full-time administrative positions.
"Discipline" in this report refers to the subject area in which faculty teach, not to their fiefd of preparation: The Higher Education General Information Survey (HEGIS) classificatidn of disciphnes was used, with certain adjustments which are describedin Appendix A. thetwo pfimary variables covered are race and discipline of faculty. Additionally, tenure, rank, ynd degree level data on faculty were obtained from some institufions. The percentages of total reported faculty for whom these additional variables were provided are summarized below:

4-Year Institutions , 2-Yéá Institutions
Tenure Information
Rank Information
Degree Level Information


## Distribution of Faculty by Race: Four-Year Institutions

Four-year institutions were classified into three groups according to the racial character of their enrollments: (1) white (less than 5 percent black), (2) black ( 80 to 100 percent), and (3) substantially integrated (all other). According to this classification, 51 percent of - four-year faculty are at "substantially integrated" institutions, 41 percent are at "white," and 8 percent are at "black" institutions.
; Eight percent, or 7,704 , of the more than 97,000 faculty accounted for in this survey in the four-year colleges and universities of the region are black, and three-fourths of the black faculty are concentrated in the black institutions. Black representation on college and university faculties is well below the 18.8 percent which blacks represented of total population in the region in 1975, as well as the 15.1 percent which black students constituted of total college enrollments in the region in 1976. As shown in Figure 1, the proportion of black faculty is correlated with proportion of black enrollment. However, there is:greater representation of the faculty that is of the "other race" in the black institutions than in the white institutions. Black colleges and universities have been employing white faculty (and other minority faculty) in greater proportions than the white institutions have been employing black (and other 'minority) faculty, especially when distribution of total faculty among institutions, is taken into çonsideration.

Some of the disparities in themployment of black faculty pray be accounted for by
$\because$ "their distribution bung disciplines. The distribution of black/ faculty a hong fields of study ${ }^{1}$ is quite different from that of white faculty (see Table 1). A notable finding of this survey for four-year institutions is the tremendofis concentration of black faculty in the education discipline. Almost one-third of total black faculty are concentrated in this field, as compared to only 13 percent of white faculty. This large representation of black faculty in education reşults in blacks constituting 16 percent of total faculty in this discipline, which is $t$ wise their average representation in all fields of study.

Another major field in which black faculty heavily represented is public affairs, which includes the field of social work. Again. blacks in this field have twice the level of representation which is average for all fields pt study, and the proportion of totaltalack faculty in

- the field is much higher than that of white faculty. Social science, home economics, and library science, are other major disciplines' in which blacks have higher than average representation.

Disciplines where blacks have lower) than average representation include engineering.) accounting, and architecture. In each of these, the proportion of total white faculty in the discipline is double that of black faculty. The distribution of black faculty is similar to that of white faculty in biological sciences, business and management (exclusive of accounting), fine arts, foreign languages, letters, mathematics, and psychology.


$A$ Fulter Prowisedvenc

Two major reasons help to account for the disparities of black representation in the various academic disciplines of college and university faculties: the small number of advanced degrees earned by blacks, and the continued concentration of advanced degrees held by blacks in the field of education. Since an advanced degree is an almost universal require-'ment' for a faculty appointment, an increase in the number of blacks earning such degrees is the essential key to increased black representation on college faculties itit the region:

In 1975-76 the percentages of doctorates earned by blacks in the United States and in the region continued to fall far below black shares of bachelor's and master's degrees.


The number of doctorates carned by blacks in the region in $\uparrow \mathbf{~} 976$ (320) represents only 4.2 percent of total black faculty as reported in this survey for piplic four-year colleges and universities. The percentage would; of course, be even lower if private institutions were included in the survey. Correspondingly $y_{6}$ white doctorates constitute 7.4 percent of white faculty at four-year public institutions. The number of doctoral degrees earned by blacks in the region is just slightly larger than the number of new faculty neeted to offet an annalfaculty replacement rate of 3.5 percent. (This was the minimum rate estimated in a recent SREB study for total faculty, annual replacement needs in the region at all degree levels. ${ }^{3}$ )
. If only a small paft of the new black doctorates take jobs in industry and government or in two-year colleges, the remainder would not be sufficient to maintain the present level of black representation in four-year institutions, much less to increase it.

If it is realistic to expect that incerased black representation on college faculties might come about throang néw appointees with master's degrees, the outlook would be brighter. 7 In 1975-76, a total of 8,903 mastor's degrees was earned by "blacks in the región. This . number would represent a sizable pool from which to augment the present number of 7,704 black faculty identified in the public four-year institutions in the region in this sur-vey-assuming that master's degree faculty aspirants, wfifther black or white, can compete in the academic market with a surplus of doctoral applicants.
When the future ourrook for black faculty representation is examined by disciplines, the institutions are found in thotacation discipline, and 16 percent of total faculty in this discipline are black. With this relatively high level of representatioh, there appears to be little likelihood of any substantial increase in black representation in this discipline. Yet black graduate students continue to concentrate; in the education field. In 1975-76, 75 percent of all degrees at both the master's and detbral levels eamed by blacks in the region were in this discipline (Figure 2). It will be difficult to genernte a greater supply of black faculty in Y. disciplines other than education wfien only 25 percent of all-advanced degrees awarded to blacks in the region are divided among all the remaining disciplines. Indeed, the two disci-plines-tider education-with the next highest numbers of black doctorates in the Fegion ( 13 degrees in biological'sciences and 11 degrees in social sciences') are in fields in whioh black , representation is not especially low.


FIGURE 2
Próportions of Blacks in Education Discipline,
Q , Current Faculty and New Doctorates
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Academic disciplines in which the employment outlook for students is brightest are likely to be the ones with the greatest need for new faculty in the years to come Education and the social şciences arenot expected to be in this category; On the other hand, business and management, engindering, and comptiter sciences have brighter prospects for student employment. Black faculty representation is relatively low in these fields, añ, as has been.

- indicated $d_{3}$ much of the concentration of blacks in engineering is in the technologies, while -black representation in business and mandgement tends to be higher in the secretarial stưdies area than in accounting. of doctorates earned by blacks in the region mity might expect that the small number
. Yegions, thty ing ingratiop to imstitutibns in the South. Yet at the national level, too', blacks at the adyanced degtee levels continu"e to concentrate in the education discipline ( $55^{\circ}$ percent
$\because "$ and 67 perceñt of all doctorates and master's degrees, respectively, in 1975-76). With lesp
$\because$ than 20 doctorates per field in, suchareas as engineering, the health professions, and dom-
 underrepresented and ip whichit student $\dot{f}$ hrollments are strong holds little promise of allevit. - ating black faculty underrepresentation in the region's institutions':-

Although black representation on faculties is low in fields where mathenfatics is applied - (accounting, engineering, and computer sciênces), the same is.nat trüe for black representation in mathematics per se. According to the results of the survey, for-four-year institutions, 9.3 percent of total faculty in mathematics are black, as compared to the 7.9 percent representation across all fields. However, most black faculty in mathematics are concentrated in the black colleges and universities. These institutions are not as likely to offer programs in applied mathematics, and this may help to account for the dearth of black representation in

- these fields. As an increasing proportion of black graduate students choose white or substantially integrated universities for advanced'studies, where programs such as engineering and computer science are offered, it might be expected that black representation in these fields would gradually increase, especially if greater stress is placed on this direction.

Just as black students at the master's and doctoral levels in the past appear to have chosen mathematics over fields to which mathematics is applied, so have they tended to concentrate in biology rather than in the health professions. Again, this may be a reflection of past program ayailabflities-a situation that could change, especially if greater emphasis were given to sulh choices in counseliag black graduate students.

## 是

## Tenure, Rank, and Degree Levels

In general, a black faculty member is less likely to be tenured, to hold the rank of fulle? * professor, and to have earned a doctorate than is a white faculty member. The comparisons between the proportions of black and white faculty holding tenure, professorial rank, and the doctorate are shown in Figure 3. Some of the-differences found in these variables could possibly be accounted for by age, length of employment, and type of appointment variations between black and white faculty. The survey, however, did not include information on these points.

With regard to tenure, 40 percent of black faculty are tenured, as compared to 47 percent of white faculty; insofar as rank is concerned, 14 percent of all black faculty hold full professorial rank, as compared to 22 percent of all white faculty. Blacks are more likely to be

tenured and: to hold professorial rank in the black than in the white institutions, with'intermediate likelihood at substantially integrated institutions; In the black institutions, the percentage of black faculty that are tenured is almost the same as for all faculty accoss all rank in the black than in the white institutions. :

Although not as many, institutions provided information on degrees of faculty as on tenure and rank', those responding are evenly distributed among insfrtutions in the three categories of black enrollment proportions. The distribution. of doctorates among black faculty, among institutions, is just the opposite of the finding on tef nure and rank; the proportion of black doctorates is least in black, higher in integrate and highest in white institutions. In the white institutions 52 percent of all black facilty hold the doctorate (as compared to 70 percent for white faculty). In the black institufions, in which almost threefourths of total black faculty, are employed, only 35 . percent of all black faculty hold the doctorate (as-compared to 59 percent for white faculfy). These differences in incidence of black faculty with doctorates may indicate a greater gapacity on the part of the white institultions to attract available black doctoral degree holders than is the case with the black institutions. By the same token, the white institutions, may be less willing to fimploy blacks who do not hold the doctorate. It is also noted that the proportion of white fadulty with the doctorate is considerably lower at black institutions than it is in white institutions.

Differences in tenure and rank distributions of black faculty among disciplines are detailed in Section 2. Black faculty in library science, foreign languages, and the general arts and science classifications hold tenure status more often than white faculty. Tenure status for blacks is not particularly widespread in education and public affairs, the two fields in which they have the highest representation: In public affairs, "where many programs are of fairly recent vintage, it should be goted that white faculty are also less likely to be tenured than in other disciplines.

Notable differences among disciplines insofar as rank is concerned occur in the biological and physical sciences, in which the percentage of blacks ranked as full professors exceeds the average 14 percent across all fields, and engineering and the health professions; in which blacks have much lower than avérage representation in the professorial rank. These differences tend to corroborate the historically, greater participation of blacks in some basic sciences (biology and chemistry) than in the newer applied fields which often have not been offered in the predominantly black institutions.

## Distribution of Faculty by Race: Two-Year Institutions

Two-year institutions were classified into three groups according to the racial character of their enrollments: (1) white (less than 10 percent black), (2) integrated ( 10 to 35 percent black), and (3) substantially black ( 35 percent and higher). The percent of total faculty at the three respective categories is 46 percent, 44 percent, and 10 percent.

The representation of black faculty in two-year institutions ( 7.2 percent of total faculty) is even lower than in the four-year sector, despite the fact that blacks comprise a greater - proportion of total enrollments in two-year than in four-year institutions.

Since two-year colleges are of more recent vintage than the four-year sector, there is a greater likelihood of dispersion both of black students and of faculty among institutions and, therefore, a lesser.concentration of black faculty in those two-year colleges where black


$\dagger$ Percentages may not add to 100 due to rounding.
enrollments are highest. Whereas almost three-fourths of black faculty in the four-year institutions are found in the black four-year colleges and universities (with black enrollments of at least 80 percent), of the 2.500 black faculty members identified in the two-year colleges. less than one-third teach in institutions with black enrollments of at least 35 percent. (The term "black institutionṣ" was not used with reference to two-year institutions in this study because concentrations of black enrollment as high as 80 pereent are rare in these schools.)

The concentration of black faculty in certain disciplines is also less marked in the twoyear sector ${ }^{4}$ than in the four-year institutions (see Table 2). Although, compared to white
faculty, blacks are more heavily represented in education and the public service technologies, they are not as heavily concentrated in these fields in the two-year as in the four-year faculties. They have relatively strong representation in the undifferentiated frelds (general studies, letters and arts, and science and math) wherejdepartmental frganization combines numerous disciplines rather than sorting out discretacoyed. (im .

Black representation is higher in the health secrvice tẹchnology*area in the two-year collqges than in the heath professions field in the four-year jastitutions. This reflects the greater likeljhood of finding black faculty in the allied health and nursing faculties in twoyear programs than in the professional and advanced progitams in senior institutions.

Differences in tenure among black and white faculty, in the two-year sector are not especially meaningful, since there is no tenure system in many of these in 策tutions. Examinations of rank are also less meaningful here than iy the four-year sector, since all faculty in many two-year colleges are either unranked or are ranked as instructors. In fact, three-fourtis of all faculty for whom rank was given were designgted as instructors. Thus, the slight difference in the two percent versus four percent of black and white faculty, respectively, with - full professorial rank is not very significant.

Although degree level data were provided for only one-fourth of all two-year faculty, the differences for black and white faculty parallel those reported for four-year institutions, While 13 percent of white two-year college faculty hold a doctorate. only 7 percent of black faculty are at this level. As was noted for four-year institutions, the likelihood of advanied degrees for black faculty decreases as the percentage of black enroilnent increases. A baccalaureate is the highest degree held:by one-third of the black faculty in the two-year institutions with the highest percentage black enrollments, yet the corresponding proportion in the institutions with the lowest black enrollments is only 17 percent. As was shown to be the case for the four-year institutions, white faculty also have the highest likelihood of the least educational preparation in these two-year colleges that have the highest black enfollments. The representation of black and white faculty by degree ferels is shown in Figure 4. , The increasing number of blacks earning a master's degree may provide a supply whereby black representation might. be strengthened in the region's two-year colleges," where a mastar's degree is the norm for faculty. Yet the continuing concentration of blacks in the discipline of education. at the master's as well as the doctoral level, is a grave impediment to the wider representation of black faculty even in the two-year colleges. With a concentration in $^{4}$ education reaching 75 percent of the 8.900 master's degrees earned by blacks in theregion in 1975-76, only 2,200 degiees are available across all other disciplines. One-fifth of those are in public affairs, again a discipline in which blacks are already strongly represented in both two-year and four-year institutions.

## Summary of Major Findings

In both the four-year and two-year public institutions of the region, black faculty are underrepresented relative to the shares that blacks constitute of total population in the South and of college enrollments. In the four-year colleges and universities, much of the black faculty that is available is concentrated in the black instifutions. Indeed, the percentage of all black faculty which serve in the black colleges and universities substantially exceeds the percentage of all black students enrolled in these institutions.



# Section 2 Detailed Findings 

## Distribution of Faculty by Race; Four-Year Instructions

Of thé $97,0 \$ 3$ faculty accounted for in this ${ }^{\circ}$ urvey on the primary variables of race and discipline, 7.9 percent are black, 88.2 percent yre white, and the remainder -is distributed between "other minority""o二"minority, race not dpecified." As can be seen in Table 3, only
1.6 percent of totalyachlty in whito institutions are black; compared to 67.7 percent in black colleges and universitiesi

Conversely, 95 percent of faculty are whitfin the' white institutions, compared to 24 percent in the black institutions. There is, ho ever, a greates representation of "other race", faculty in the black instrutions than in the white institutions.


Physical sciences, mathematics, engineer accounting, and computer sciences are all
 $l$ and these are all fields with a mathematical orientation. Several of these fields"are disciplines in which black representation across all institutions is quite low.

## - . . Distribution of Faculty by Race and Tenure

Tenure status was indicated for 86 percent of faculty in four-year institutions. The distribution of faculty by tenure and race is shown in Table 6. For all four-year institutions, 40 percent of black faculty are tenured, and 47 percent of white faculty are tenured. Fifty percent of black faculty and 39 percent of white faculty are non-tenured. The remainders. ( 10 percent and 13 percent for black and white faculties, respectively) account for faculty whe enure satu/was not specified.

Black faculty have a higher likelihood of being tenured in the black institutions than in those where black enrollment is less than 80 percent. Conversely, white faculty have a greater likelihood of being tenured in the white institutions, with only 25 percent of white faculty being tenured in the black institutions.

## TABLE 5

Percent of Black Faculty in Major Disciplines By Percent Black Enrollment of Institutions


When the percentage of tenured faculty who are black (Table 7) is compared to the percentage that black faculty constitute of total faculty in all institutions)(Table 3), it is evident that there is a slightly lower likelihood of black faculty being tenured than would be expected by their representation on the total faculty. While for all institutions black faculty account for 7.9 percent of total faculty, they account for only $6: 8$ percent of total tenured faćulty. Among the black institutions, however, where black faculty account for 6.7.7 percent of all faculty, they account for 77.3 percent of all tenured faculty (see Tàble 7).


Distribution of Faculty by Race, Tenure Status, and Discipline
The distribution of faculty by race, tenure status, and discipline for all institutions is shown in Ta 8. There is some variation among disciplines from the pattern across all disciplinf, thamely, 40 percent of black faculty holding tenure, versus 47 percent of white facurfy with this status. When disciplines are examined in which there are at least 60 black faculty members, biological sciences, law, physical sciences, fine and applied arts, and mathematics are fields with equal or almost equal percentages of tenured faculty for blacks and whites. The percentage of tenured faculty is higher for blacks than whites in library science, foreign languages, and in the arts and science classifications. Blacks ${ }^{4}$ have a substantially lower percentage tenure status relatime to the average for all disciplines in public , affairs and in the letters and arts area.

## Distribution of Faculty by Race and Rank

Rank of faculty was reported for 63,960 faculty members; or 66 percent of the lotal Included in the survey. There was a slightly greater.likelihood of faculty rank being reported for white faculty than for black, with 66 and 59 percent of white and black faculty, respectively, accounted for in the data detailing faculty rank.


As shown in Table 9, for all institutions, 21 percent of all faculty hold the rank of professor. For blacks the percentage is lower-only 14 percent of black faculty are professors. Conversely, the percentage of black faculty who are ranked as instructors exceeds the percentage of total faculty with that rank.

For the black institutions, 15 percent of black professors hold professorial rank, while only 9 percent hold thịs rank in the institutions with less than 5 percent black enrollment.
 The opposite trend is evident for white facult). For white institutions (those with less than 5 percent black enrollment), 24 percent of all white faculty hold professorial rank, as compared to only. 9 percant of white faculty with this rank in the black institutions. Characteristics of rank are intermediately defined at integrated institutions.

Differences in rank by race are' seen from a different perspective in Table 10. Of all faculty for whom rank was determined in the survey 7.1 percent are black, while 88.8 percent are white. For all institutions, of total professors, 4.7 percent are black, while 92 percent are white. In other words, in relationship to their representation in the total faculty, black faculty have a lower likelihood of being professors and a higher likelihood of being instructors (with 16 percent of all instructors being black).

In the black institutions, black faculty account for 64.9 percent of totalfaculty with rank identified, but 71.9 percent of total professors. Black underrepresentation in rank categories in the black institutions comés in the "other" rank category which inclüdes lecturers:

## Percentage Distribution of Faculty by Race, Tenure, and Discipline


*Nursing is a subfield of Health Professions and Health Technology.
20
${ }^{\dagger \mathrm{Pe}} \mathrm{ERIC}^{\mathrm{m}}$

$\dagger$ Percentages may not add to toô due to rounding.

In the white institutions, while black faculty account for 1.4 percent of total faculty, they account for only 0.5 percent of professors and 2.8 percent of instructors.

A converse pattern is evident for white faculty. In the white institutions they tend to be overrepresented in the higher ranks, while in the black instidutions they tend to be underrepresented in the professorial ganks relative fo their total fepresentation. On the other hand, white 'faculty constityte 45.4 percent of the "oner" rank category in the black colleges, as against 25.7 percent of total faculty in these institutions.

## Distribution of Faculty by Race, Rank. and Discipline

Although for all institutions and across all disciplines 14 percent of all black faculty hold professorial rank, there is some variation in rank distribution among disciplines, as showh in Table 11. Disciplines in which there are at least 50 black faculty members, and where a

TABLE 10
Percentage Distribution of Faculty Ranks by Race and Percent Black Enrollment
4.Year Institutions

|  | Professor | Associate Professor | Assistant Professor | Instructor | Other | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All Institutions |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Black - - | 4.7\% | 5.6\% | 8.5\% | 16.0\% | 4.3\% |  |
| White | 92.0 | 90.4 | 87.4 | 81.0 | 89.1 | - 88.8 |
| Other Minority | 3.0 | 3.9 | 3.8 | 2.9 | 2.8 3 |  |
| Minority, Not Specified | $\frac{.1}{1000}$ | $\frac{.1}{100.0}$ | $\xrightarrow{400.0}$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\frac{3.8}{100.0}$ | $\bigcirc$ |
| Black Enrollment |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less Than 5\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Black | . 5 | 1.3 | 1.6 | 2.8 | 1.5 | 1.4 |
| White | 97.5 | 95.1 | 94.3 | 93.0 | 93.7 | 95.0 |
| Other Minority | 2.0 | 3.5 | 3.9 | 4.2 | 2.7 | 3.2 |
| Minority, Nopt Specified |  | . 1 | 2 |  | 2.1 | . 4 |
| Total $\dagger$ | $\underline{00.0}$ | $\overline{100.0}$ | $\overline{100.0}$ | $\overline{100.0}$ | $\overline{100.0}$ | $\underline{100.0}$ |
| Black Enrollment |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Black | 1.8 | 2.0 | 3.7 | 6.7 | 2.8 . | 3.0 |
| White | 95.0 | 94.5 | 92.7 | 91.0 | 91.0 | 93.1 |
| Other Minority | 3.2 | 3.5 | 3.3 | 2.0 | 2.3 | 3.0 |
| , Minority, Not Specified | $\underline{-}$ | $\stackrel{-}{-}$ | . 3 | -. 3 | 3.9 | $\underline{.9}$ |
| Total ${ }^{\dagger}$ | $\overline{100.0}$ | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Black Enrollment $80 \%$ and Higher |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Black | 71.9 | 63.1 | 68.2 | 78.8 |  |  |
| White | 16.4 | 26.5 | 25.2 6.4 | 18.5 2.7 | 45.4 8.9 | 25.7 7.4 |
| Other Minority | 11.6 | 10.0 |  | 2.7 | 8.9 12.1 | .4 1.9 |
| Minority, Not Specified | $\frac{.1}{100.0}$ | $\frac{.4}{100.0}$ | $\begin{array}{r}\text { ¢ } \\ \hline 100.0\end{array}$ | $\frac{-}{100.0}$ | $\frac{12.1}{100.0}$ | $\frac{1.9}{100.0}$ |

$\dagger$ Percentages may not add to 100 due to rounding.
considerably higher proportion of blacks holds professorial rank, are biological sciences and physical sciences. Blacks have a proportion of professorial rank lower than for all disciplines in engineering ( 7 percent), the health professions ( 6 percent . and public affairs ( 6 percent). In the last two disciplines, the proportion of white professors also is lower than in other disciplines.

For all disciplines, 23 percent of black faculty hold the rank of instructor. For disciplines in which black faculty number at least 50 persons, fields which have considerably higher proportions of blacks in the instructor rank are general studies and letters.
Fields in which the proportion of white faculty holding professorial rank is at least' double the proportion of black faculty with this rank (and in which there are at least 50 black faculty) are as follows: general studies; agriculture, engineering, health profestions,

TABLE 11
TPercentage Distribution of Faculty by Race,
Rank, and Discipline
4-Year Institutions

$\dagger$ Percentages may not add to 100 due to rounding.
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TABLE 11 (continued)
Percentage Distribution of Faculty by Race,
Rank, and Discipline
4-Year Institutions
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TABLE 11 (continued)
Percentage Distribution of Faculty by Race, Rank, and Discipline


*Also see Nursing at end of table.

$\pi$

*Nursing is a subfield of Health Professions and Health Techinology.
public affairs, and letters and arts. In the broad-based arts and science area, the percentage of blacks holding professorial rank vastly exceeds the proportion of whites at this rank.

$$
r
$$

Distribution of Faculty by Race and Degree Levels
Information about degree levels of faculty was provided for 33,093 faculty members in four-year institutions, or approximately one-third of total faculty reported in this survey. Although degree level is known for only one-third of total faculty in the survey, the data obtained appear to be representative:

Percentage of Faculty in Survey for Whom Degree'Level Is Known

|  |  | Total | Black | White |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Institutions with Black Enrollment: |  |  |  |  |
| Less Than 5\% |  | 32\% | 43\% | 32\% |
| 5\% to 80\% |  | 34 | 33 | 35 |
| 80\% and Higher | 3 | 41 | 44 | 36 |

The distribution of faculty by race, degree levels, and percentage black enrollment in the employing institutions is shown in Table 12. The bachelor's category is a catchall classification that includes some faculty with less than that degree. For all institutions, 65 per-

- cent of total faculty hold a doctorate, but only 37 percent of black faculty are at this degree level. The highest percentage of black faculty members with a doctorate are found among the white institutions, where 52 percent of all black faculty hold a doctorate, as compared to 35 percent in the black colleges and universities. White faculty in the white institutions are also more likely to hotd a doctorate.

The detail on degree levels of faculty by discipline is not shown in this report since the
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## Distribution of Faculty by Race: Two-Year Institutions

For the $34,7.25$ two-year faculty accounted for in this survey on the primary variables of race and discipline, 7.2 percent are black, 88.9 percent white, and the remainder is distributed between "other" or "minority, race not specified." As seen in Table 13, the percentage of faculty that are black correlates with the percentage of black enrollment in the institution, with only 2.8 percent of total faculty in white two-year institutions being black, as opposed to 21 percent of those where black enrollment is at least 35 percent. Conversely, white faculty representation diminishes, although at a lesser rate, as black enrollment increases. Among two-year colleges with less than 10 percent black enrollment, whites constitute 92.1 percent of total faculty, while the account for only 76.8 percent of total faculty in those institutions wheret black enrollment inat least 35 percent.
The differences in both white and black faculty representation among institutions with varying percentages of black enrollment are not as dramatic as among four-year institutions. This may be accounted for by the fact that the two-year institutions are generally "younger" than the four-year colleges and universities and do not have as long an historical pattern of segregation. For this reason there are very few predominantly black two-year colleges, and the percentages chosen for classifying institutions according to black enrollment reflect the more uniform distribution of black students (and faculty) among these colleges than is the case among four-year institutions.
Percentage Distribution of Faculty by Race and Percent Black Enrollment
2-Year Institutions
$\dagger$ Percentages may not add to 100 due to rounding.
As seen in Table 14, institutions with at least 35 percent black enrollment account for only 28.5 percent of total black faculty, versus 8.4 percent of total white faculty, and 9.7 percent of faculty of all races. This stands in contrast to the black four-year institutions which account for 8.5 percent of façulty of all races, but employ 72.7 percent of all black faculty (see Table 4).

## Distribution of Faculty by Race and Disciplines

Faculty distribution by disciplines was shown in Table 2. Although in all institutions black faculty account for 7.2 percent of faculties in all disciplines, they have much higher

* or much lower representation in some disciplines. For example, in the disciplines of general


studies, businéss and management, education, library science, public service technologies, and in the broad-based departments of letters and arts or science and mathematics, black faculty account for considerably higher representation, exceeding $l \boldsymbol{t}$ percent in each of these fields. Also, in education and in public service technologies, while only 6.1 and 1.3 percent, respectively, of total white faculty anf found in these fields, the percentages of total black faculty in these two fields'are double the white proportions.

Important variations exist among subfields within some of the major disciplines. (De tailed data on subfields, are shown in Appendix C.) For example, although black faculty represent 6.7 percent of total faculty in the major discipline of business and commerce technology, black facuitty account for 17.3 percent of secretarial technology faculty. Similarly, in the two-year institutions black representation in associate degree registered nursing faculty exceeds the proportion of blacks in the health programs generally. .

The distribution of black faculty by discipline among two-year institutions with various proporions of black enrollment is shown in Table 15. Since blafk enrollment is more evenly " distributed across two-ydar institutions than is the case for four-year colleges and universities, the distribution of black faculty is also more evenly distributed across two-year institutions than for the four-year ones. Only 28.5 percent of total black faculty is found in two-year institutions where black enrollment is at least $35^{\prime}$ percent, as compared to the 72.7 percent of total black faculties accounted for by the four-year institutions with at least 80 percent black enrollment (see Table 5). The two fields in which two-year college black faculty are concentrated in those institutions with at least 35 percent black enrollment are the broad letters and arts, and the seience and mathematics areas. This concentration may reflect a greater tendency for those two-year colleges with larger black enrollment proportions to organize around broad departments than might be true in other two-year institutions.

Distribution of Faculty by Race and Rank
Rank of deulty was reported for 25,728 faculty members, or 34 percent of the total responding in the two-year sector. There was a slightly greater likelihood of faculty rank being reported for white faculty than for black, with 74 and 68 percent of white and black faculty, respectively, accounted for in the data detailing faculty rank.

As shown in Table 16 for all institutions, 75.6 percent of all faculty hold the rank of instructor (which includes the "other" rank classification for two-year'colleges). Since many two-year institutions do nd have differential ranks among faculty, the preponderance of two-year faculty would be expected to be found among the "instructor" classification.

In view of the concentration of all faculty in the instructor rank among two-year institution§, regardless of the percentage black enrollment, the differences between black and white faculty by their ranks are not very meaningful. Noting this proviso, Tables 16 and 17 show, however, that differences do exist. While 3.8 percent of white faculty hold professorial appointments, only 1.9 percent of black faculty have this rank. Similar differences are evident in the associate professor category. From a different perspective (Table 17), although blacks constitute 6.6 percent of total faculty for whom rank designations are reported, they represent only 3.5 percent of the professorial category, and their percentage reprasentation generally increases as rank levels decrease. Blacks tend to have greater representation in the professorial ranks as the percentage of black enrollment increases. Yet, even

in the institutions with more than 35 percent black enrollment, although blacks constitute 19.2 percent of total faculty for whom rank is reported, they constitute only 11 pergent of those with professorial rank.

Due to the overwhelming concentration of all faculty in the instructor rank in the two-- year colleges, differential analysis of ranks by disciplines would not be meaningful and, 'therefore, is not presented.

$\dagger$ Percentages may not add to 100 due to rounding.
Distribution of Faculty by Degree Levels
Information about degree levels of faculty was prokided for 8,997 faculty members ir two-year institutions, or 26 percent of total faculty reported in this suprey. The followint percentages of two-year faculty in the survey are accounted for by race and institution:

## Percentage of Faculty in Survey for Whom Degree Level is Known

|  |  | Total | Black | White |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Institutions with Black Enrollment |  |  |  |  |
| Less Than 10\%. |  | 18\% | 32\% | 18\% |
| 10\% to 35\% | d | 32 | 26 | 32 |
| 35\% and Higher |  | 38 | 44 | 37 |

There is underrepresentation of degree lęvel information for the two-year colleges that hav less than 10 percent black enrollment. This group of institutions accounts for 46 percent o

all reported two-year faculty. Therefore, the distribution of faculty by degree level from this enumeration may not be representative of the whole. The baccalaureate category is a catchall that includes faculty with less than a bachelor's degree.
'For all institutions, only 13 pefcent of all faculty hold a doctorate. (See Table 18.) - Among black faculty, for all institutions, only 7 percent hold a doctorate. The likelihood of holding a doctorate is slightly lower fot both black and white faculty in institutions with higher percentages of black enrollment as compared to those with the lowest percentages of black enrollment. The, opposite $s$ true forr bachelor's degrees, which account for a higher percentage of both black and white faculty in those institutions with higher proportions of black Enrollment.

The detail on degree levels of faculty by disciplines is not shown in this report since the representation of degree level data at this level of specificity is not sufficientlyyreliable. .

TABLE 18
Percentage Distribution of Faculty by Degree Levels,'
Race, and Percent Black Enrollment
2-Year Institutions


## Footnotes

1. Further detail on faculty distribution by subfields in the four-year colleges and universities is shown in Appendix B.
2. James R. Mingle, Degree Output in the South, 1975-76: Distribution by Raçe, Southern Regional Education Board, Atlanta, 1978. *
3. Cameron Fincher, et al, The Closing System of Academic Employment, Southerh Regional Education Board, Atlanta, 1978, p. 73.
4. Further detail on faculty distribution by subfields in the two-year institutions is shown in Appendix C
$\dagger$ Percentages may not add to 100 due to rounding.
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## Appendix A Methodological Notes

The data for this report wefe obtained primarily through surveying the individual public four-year and two-year institutions in the 14 states oomprising the Southern Regional Education Board region. Reporting requirements under various mandates have put a tremendous burden on institutions of higher education in recent years. For this reason, SREB determined that data collection for this project should accommodate, as much as possible, , existing compilations of data about faculty in various state agencies and in the individual institutions. Therefore, in the first instance, SREB requested the data from the state higher education coordinating agencies. Where these agencies had already compiled statewide reports or files that included faculty data op race and discipline, these reports or files were used despite limitations regarding other yariables, such as rank and degree levels. Where state agencies could not supply data, requests for data were sent to the individual institutions. Again, to accommodate institutions, fach'one was given a choice between completing the SREB survey form (see page 37) of submitting affirmative action reports if such reports included a work force analysis of fadulty by race and discipline. Again, this choice meant sacrificing detail on faculty by fank apd degree levels if such data were not included from overburdened higher education institutions netted a return of data in some form from almost the entire universe of public two-year and four-year institutions. Reports of one. type or another were received from institutions accounting for 94 percent of total fouryear enrollments and 79 percent of total two-year enrollments in 1976-77. Most of the missing enrollment in the two-year sector is concentrated in one state, where reports could not be obtained. Among the historically black public four-year institutions, only three schoolś, with a 1976-77 combined enrollment of approximately 6,900, are missing.

The data cover faculty employed full-time either in 1976-77 or 1977-78; and in less than 5 percent of the reporting institutions, the data pertain to 1975-76. Since radical changes in the representation of minority faculty are not likely to occur from one year to another, the data in this report may be considèred representative of the 1976-78 period.

Through the use of the collection methods detailed above, data were obtained on race and discipline of 97,053 and 34,725 full-time faculty members in four-year and two-year institutions, respectively. The report covers only faculty with teaching responsibilities and excludes those in full-time administrative positions. Departmental chairmen are included. , Excluded are persons with faculty status who are engaged in such non-teaching responsibilities as management of non-teaching institutes, continuing education centers or library administration, although in some instances it was difficult to differentiate whether "library" faculty were 'reported as personnel in library science programs or in the administration of the campus library.

The latitude permitted in data collection for this project results in varying levels of detail. Limitations of the data because of differences in inclusiveness of reports are summarized below:

## Race <br> "Race" in this report is classified as follows: white <br> black <br> other minority <br> minority, not specified

The "minority, not specified" category includes either "black" or "other minorities" and was needed because some institutions did not distinguish their minority faculty by category of minorities. Total black faculty exceeds the 7,704 and 2,486 identified as black in the four-year and/two-year institutions respectively, since some of those in "minority, not specified" are alsofblack. If the proportion of blacks in "minority, not specified as blacks are of blacks plus "other minorities," then the total number of blacks would be 8,068 and 2,553 for the four-year and two-year sectors, respectively.

## Discipline

"Discipline" in this report refers to the subject area in which fagulty teach, and not in which faculty are educated. The HEGIS classification of disciplines was used. Available disaggregation on disciplines in which faculty teach depends on (1) the organizational structurenof departments in individual institutions and (2) the level of aggregation of disciplines whereby state agencies compile data on faculty. Some colleges are organized around more discrete departmental breakdowns than others. For example, some institutions have departments of history, so they could report faculty by that discipline, while others have a social science department as their lowest level of organization by discipline. The information in this report reflects varying levels of reported detail. As a result of these variations, the infor-mation on faculty in "subfields," or specialties under the major HEGIS divisions, is incomplete. For example, the number of faculty reported in "Accounting" (HEGIS subfield 502) will understate faculty in this discipline, since some institutions will have included Accounting faculty under "Business and Management" (HEGIS majbr field 500) which includes Accounting and all other subfields of HEGIS 500.

Some institutions, especially two-year colleges or shall four-year colleges, organize their faculties in departinents that are even broader than any ge major HEGIS field. For example, in some community colleges, departments of natural scejence and mathematics include several major HEGIS fields. To accommodate aggregate reporting of this kind, two classifications not included in the HEGIS system were added for the purpose of this report:

5650 - Language area arts and sciences
5670 - Natural science and mathematits arts and sciences
The HEGIS field General Arts and Sciences 5600 (for associate degrees) was used when colleges reported faculty in a geferal arts and science department. A few four-year institutions also reported disciplines with this undefined level of aggregation, witlo 0.2 percent of alr reported four-year faculties accounted for in the HEGIS field 5600.)

For the tables of the text, the discipline data have been collapsed to 30 major fields, including the three " 5600 " categories which were used for aggregated departmental organization In Appendixes B and C, the data' are shown for these 30 disciplines plus for 34 and

19 subfields in the four-year and two-year sectors, respectively. The reader is cautioned that information in Appendixes B or C for a subfield such as history would not include all ${ }^{\circ}$ faculty in that subfield, since some would have been included in the broader classification of "social science."

## Percent Black Enrollment

Faculty data are analyzed in this report according to the racial mix in the student population of the institutions where faculty are employed. This "mix" was determined by the percent black undergraduate enrollment in the institution according to the 1974 report of the Office for Civil Rights, Department of Health, Education, and Welfare. Institutions are classified in the foHowing categories:

> 4-Year Institutions

Less Than 5\% Black Enrollment
$5 \%$ to $80 \%$ Black Enrollment
$80 \%$ and Higher Black Enrollment


Less Than 10\% Black Enrollment 10\% to 35\% Black Eñrollment
35\% and Higher Black Enrollment

Different breakdowns are used for four-year and two-year institutions. Traditionally, the historically black institutions had totally black enrollments. Such an historical pattern does not exist in the newer two-year sector. The percent black enrollment in three "historically" black four-year institutions fell below 80 percent in 1974, so that faculty for these institutions are counted in the " $5 \%$ to $80 \%$ " black enrollment classification.

## Tenure Status

Since race and discipline were the two highest priority variables for this project, information on tenure status was one of the variables sacrificed where it was not included in available files and reports of state agencies or institutions. This accounts for the "tenure not designated" category which is fused in addition to the tenured and non-tenured classifications.

Tenure status is described in this report only for faculty of the four-year institutions. In many two-year institutions there is no official tenure designation especially where the institutions are under the jurisdiction of the board for the state's elementary and secondary education. Some two-year colleges, where tenure is not applicable, reported their faculty as non-tenured, while others, where the same situation exists, did not report tenure status at all, and thus became absorbed in the "tenure not designated" category. Only 24 percent of all two-year faculty were reported as tenured, versus 46 percent of four-year faculty; therefore, detail on tenure by disciplines and types of institutions for two-year institutions is not included in this report.

Rank .
Information on faculty rank is another variable that was obtained for some faculty, but sacrificed where available reports on faculty, by race and discipline? excluded rank designations. The rank classifications in this report are professor, associate professor, assistant professor, instructor, and other. The "other" category includes lecturers.

Rank designation was submitted for 66 percent of faculty in the four-year institutions and 74 percent of faculty in the two-year institutions. In the two-year institutions the classifications "instructor" and "other" were combined.

## Degree Level

Information on the highest degree held by faculty was also requested, but sacrificed if - not included in quailable reports. The classifications used for the highest earned degree of faculty are doctorate, master's, and baccalaureate. The baccalaureate ckassification includes some faculty with less than a baccalaureate degree.

Degree levels were obtained for one-third of faculty in the four-year institutions and 26 percent of those in the two-year colleges. Since the representation of faculty by degree levels is "thin," no analysis on degree levels is presented by separate disciplines.

## Availability of Further Data

The computer output tables in the Appendixes present detailed data on faculty, by race, for 64 disciplines, i.e., the 30 major breakdowns, plus subfields where institutions provided this detail. Additional computer output tables of the results, showing distribution of faculty by discipline, race, and the other variables in this study (tenure, percent black enrollment, degree levels, and ranks), are available at the Southern Regional Education Board.


Southern Regional Education Board Survey of Full-Time Faculty by Race and Discipline

$$
j
$$

Name of Institution $\qquad$ $\pm$.

(To be completed for each department in the institution.)
Dept.

*If 1977 data are not available, please submit September 1976 data, and check here $\qquad$ .

RETURN TO: Southern Regional Education Board

- Attention: Dr. Eva C. Galambos

130 Sixth Street, N.W.
Atlanta, Georgia 30313

## Appendix B

| Discipline | HEGIS Field Code | Black | White | Other Minority | Minority, Not Specified | , Total |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Geheral Studies | 0 | 130 | $\cdot 696$ | 26 | 6 | 858 |  |  |
| Agriculture | 100 | 175 | 3,824 | 66 | 9 | 4,074 |  |  |
| Forestry | 114 | 0 | 279 | 15 | 0 \% | 294 |  |  |
| Architecture \& Design | 200 | 27 | 664 | 27 | 3 | 721 |  |  |
| Area Studies | 300 | 0 | 40 | 0 | 0 | 40 |  |  |
| Biological Sciences | 400 | 347 | 3,598 | 130 | 21 | 4,096 |  |  |
| Pathology. | 408 | 1 | 152 | 19 | 0 | 172 |  |  |
| Pharmacology | 409 | 0 | 190 | 6 | 0 | 196 |  |  |
| - Physiology | 410 | 1 | - 266 | 10 | 0 | 277 |  |  |
| Microbiology | 411 | 8 | ; 334 | -32. | 0 | 374 |  |  |
| Anatomy | 412 | 0 | 244 | 20 | 0 | 264 | $f$ |  |
| Biochemistry | 414 | 0 | 212 | 12 | 0 | $\cdot 224$ |  |  |
| Business \& Management | 500 | 303 | 4,465 | 164 | 26 | 4,958 |  |  |
| Accounting | 502 506 | 29 | 677 737 | 14 32 | 1 | 720 837 |  |  |
|  | 514 | 20 | 197 | 0 | 0 | 217 |  |  |
| Business.Economics | 517 | 10 | 289 | 45 | 0 | , 344 |  |  |
| Communications | 600 | 51 | 842 | 9 | 2 | - 904 |  |  |
| Computer \& Information Sciences | - 700 | 40 | 583 | - 41 | 9 | 673 |  |  |
| Education | 800 | 1,636 . | 9,194 | 153 | 35 | 11,018 |  |  |
| Physical \& Health Education | 835 | 405 | 1,644 | 21 | 15 | - 2,085 |  | 7 |
| Industrial Arts \& Technology | 839 | 180 | 600 | 17 | 5 • | 802. |  |  |
| Engineering | 900 | 75 | 3,417 | 234 | 70 | 3,796 |  |  |
| Civil-Construction-Transportation | 908 | 4 | 362 | 34 | 1 | 401 |  |  |
| Electronics Engineering | 909 | 12 | - 459 | 37 | - 2 | 510 |  |  |
| Mechanical Engineering | 910 | 18 | 375 | 42 |  | 1437 |  |  |
| Engineering Technology | 925 | 56 | 176 | 22 | 3 | 257 |  | 」 |
| Fine \& Applied Arts | 1000 | 274 | 3,965 | 65 | - 8 | 4,312 |  |  |
| Music | 1004 | 147 | 1,286 | 26 | 8 | 1,467 |  |  |
| Foreign Languages | 1100 | 131 | 1,914 | 141 | 31 | 2,217 |  |  |
| Health Professions | 1200 | 197 | 2,932 | 80 | 17 | -3,226 | $\dot{I}$ |  |
| Nursing | 1203 | 135 | 2,234 | 21 | 0 | 2,390 |  |  |
| Dentistry | 1204 | $\bigcirc$ | 681 | 24. | 0 | 714 |  |  |
| Medicine . | 1206 | 44 | 4,221 | 296 | 25 | 4,986 |  |  |
| Pharmacy | 1211 | 5 | 371 | 15 | 4 | 395 |  |  |
| Veterinary Medicine | 1218 | 4 | 349 | 11 | 2 | 366 |  |  |
| Home Economics | 1300 | 212 | 1,288 | 52 | 1 | 1,553 |  |  |
| Law | 1400 | 71 | 997 | 16 | 18 | 1,102 |  |  |
| Letters | 1500 | 640 | 6,067 | 103 | 13 | 6,823 |  |  |
| Library Science | 1600 | 71 | 415 | 10 | 0 | 496 |  |  |
| Mathematics | 1700 | 333 | - 2,995 | 246 | 25 | 3,599 |  |  |
| Military Science | 1800 | 60 | 215 | 3 | 0 | 278 |  |  |
| Physical Sciēnce | 1900 | 164 | 3,517 | 175 | 80 | - 3,936 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | (continued) |  |  |
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    * $\quad$ - productions supplied by EDRS are the best that can be made $\quad$ * * from the original document.

[^1]:    *Also see Nursing at end of table. ,

[^2]:    fPercentages may not add to 100 due to rounding.
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